JEN 2654

Docket No.: 1454.1067

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Horst-Udo HAIN

Serial No. 09/942,736

Group Art Unit: 2654

Confirmation No. 8402

Filed: August 31, 2001

Examiner: AZAD, ABUL K

For: METHOD FOR SPEECH SYNTHESIS

PETITION TO WITHDRAW OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant hereby petitions to have an Office Action mailed on March 2, 2006 withdrawn. As described below, the Applicant requested several times to have an interview before an Office Action was issued, and these request were knowingly ignored.

On December 23, 2005, Applicant filed a written interview request form. A copy of request form and a copy of the Patent Office date-stamped postcard are enclosed. Subsequently, the undersigned contacted the Examiner many times in an attempt to schedule an interview. On February 13, 2006, the undersigned prepared a second interview request form and faxed this form to the Examiner. Enclosed is a copy of the form, as faxed to the Examiner. Subsequently, the undersigned repeated his request for an interview with the Examiner. The Examiner indicated that he would possibly be able to see the undersigned on February 22, 2006 at 3 p.m. On that day, the undersigned traveled to the Patent Office and made numerous telephone calls to the Examiner while at the Patent Office. The Examiner did not answer the phone.

After the missed interview, the undersigned made additional telephone calls to the Examiner. None of these calls resulted in an interview being successfully scheduled.

To avoid a premature final Office Action, it is submitted that March 2, 2006 Office Action should be withdrawn. A first Office Action should not be issued until after an interview has been conducted. The Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned at his earliest convenience to schedule an interview.

This petition is necessary to correct an error on the part of the Patent Office, not an Applicant error. Accordingly, no fee is enclosed herewith. However, if it is deemed that such a fee is necessary, the commissioner is authorized Staas & Halsey deposit acct. No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 1/10/3 2006

Mark J. Henry

Registration No. 36,162

1201 New York Ave, N.W., 7th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 434-1500 Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

Please Date Stamp and return

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) WITH A PETITION FOR A TWO-MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME; APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM AND CHECK FOR \$

APPLICANT(S):

Horst-Udo HAIN

SERIAL NO:

09/942,736

CONFIRMATION NO.

8402

TITLE:

METHOD FOR SPEECH SYNTHESIS

FILING DATE:

August 31, 2001

DOCKET NO:

1454.1067/MJH:fc

DUE DATE:

DECEMBER 27, 2005







| Application No.: 09/942,736 First Named Applicant: Horst-Udo HAIN | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner: | AZAD, ABUL K | Art Unit: | 2654 | | | | | |
| Status of Application: | | | | | | | | |
| Tentative Participants: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Proposed Date of Interview: January 3, 2006 Proposed Time: 1:30 p.m. | | | | | | | | |
| Type of Interview Requested: | | | | | | | | |
| (1) [X] Telepho | onic (2) [] Personal | (3) [] Video C | Conference | | | | | |
| Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES [] NO If yes, provide brief description: | | | | | | | | |
| ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED | | | | | | | | |
| Issues (Rej., Obj., e | Claims/ c) Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed | | | |
| | Pending claims | | [] | [] | [] | | | |
| (2) | | | [] [] | [] [] [] | [] [] [] | | | |
| [] Continuation Sheet Attached | | | | | | | | |
| Brief Descripti | on of Arguments to be l | Presented: | | | | | | |
| The prior art does not disclose out-of-vocabulary transcription as a function of a phonetic transcription of a sub-word within the word | | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01). This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible. | | | | | | | | |
| M & Any Du 27 2005 | | | | | | | | |
| Mark J. Henry, 36,162 (Examiner/SPE Signature) | | | | | | | | |

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Confirmation Report - Memory Send

: 001 Page

Date & Time: Feb-13-06 17:30 Line 1 : 202 434 1501 Line 2 : 202 434 1501 Machine ID: STAAS & HALSEY

: 058 Job number

: Feb-13 17:30 Date

: 215712737599 To

001 Number of pages

: Feb-13 17:30 Start 'time

: Feb-13 17:30 End time

Pages sent 001

: OK Status

Job number : 058

*** SEND SUCCESSFUL ***

APPLICANT INITIATED INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

First Named Applicant: Horst-Udo HAIN Application No.: 09/942,736

Examiner

AZAD, ABUL K

Art Unit: 2654

Status of Application:

Tentative Participants:

| | Mark J. Henry | (2) | Examiner Abul K. Acut |
|-----|----------------|-----|-----------------------|
| (1) | Mark J. Heilly | (4) | |
| (3) | | • | |

Proposed Date of Interview: February 15, 2006 Proposed Time: 11:00a.m.

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) [X] Telephonic

ì

(2) [] Personal (3) [] Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: [] YES If yes, provide brief description:

[] NO

ISSUES TO BE DISCUSSED

| (R | lssues ej., Obj., etc) | Claims/ Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|--------|---------------|
| (1) | Rejection | Pending claims | U. S. Patent | £ 3 | [] | [] |
| • • • | | | 6,076,060 | C 3 | [] | ĽΪ |
| (2) (3) | | | | Ęį | H | 13 |
| (4) | | | | | • • | |

[] Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

The prior art does not disclose out-of-vocabulary transcription as a function of a phonetic transcription of a sub-word within the word

NOTE:
This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).
This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Mark J. Henry, 36,162

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Obligation of Information is required by 37 CFR 1.132. The information is required to obtain or rotain a benefit by fire? splication, Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.O. 132 and 37 CFR 1.14, This codection is edited case. Any comment valid the completes opplication form to the USPTO. Time with the CFR 1.14, This collection of the CFR 1.14 and the CFR 1.1